

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/692,885		10/20/2000	Kenneth R. Owens	069116.0169	6112
5073	759	90 06/16/200		EXAMINER	
		ΓS L.L.P.	KADING, JOSHUA A		
2001 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 600				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
DALLA	DALLAS, TX 75201-2980				
DATE MAILED: 06			DATE MAILED: 06/16/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ď	

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/692,885	OWENS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Joshua Kading	2661	

Defere the Ciling of an Annual Priof							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Joshua Kading	2661					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 01 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ul> <li>☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) ☐ The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ul>							
b) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1.4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.4.		omnliant Amendmen	+ (PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amendmen	t (1 102-02-1).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate						
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or aπa	cnea.				
See Continuation Sheet	11. 🖸 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Chan Ti N							
		A11411 116	n rycel				
•		CHAU NO SUPERVISORY PAT					

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claims 1 and 11 do not immediately overcome the rejections and would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Regarding claims 1 and 11, applicant argues that neither Lamport nor Hsing disclose, "inhibit[ing] generation of a switch status message let alone inhibit[ing] its generation to another switch in the network as provided in the claimed invention." As noted in Lamport, col. 37, lines 42-48 if a predetermined time period expires and there have been no acknowledgements received the link is considered DEAD. There will be no more messages of any kind, including the keep alive status messages, which will be sent since the link is DEAD. Thus, the keep alive messages are prohibited from generated to a given switch and being sent to that switch due to the DEAD link. Applicant further argues that Hsing "does not inhibit generation of a switch status message to a third node in the data path as required by the claimed invention." The invention as claimed, does not disclose this feature. Therefore, it would be improper to read this limitation into the claims.

Regarding claims 22 and 23, applicant argues that Hsing "does not provide the capability for the first switch to receive a status message from a second switch to initiate redirection of subsequent data messages onto an alternative data path in response to the second switch not receiving a data message from the first switch on the data path as required in the claimed invention." As before, this limitation is not in the claimed invention and therefore it would be improper to read this limitation into the claim. Lastly, applicant argues that Lamport and Hsing lack "the ability to send a switch status message to a first switch in response to not receiving a first data message from the first switch." Again as read in Lamport, col. 37, lines 42-48 the keep alive status message is sent in response to not receiving acknowledgement. This is exactly what applicant alleges is not disclosed in Lamport.